





CIPS members can record one CPD hour for reading a CIPS Knowledge download that displays a CIPS CPD icon.

Introduction

Very often the terms 'insourcing' and 'backsourcing' are used interchangeably: in practice many insourcing decisions and case evidence are actually cases of backsourcing rather than elaborate processes that lead to an insourcing decision. There is, however, a fine theoretical distinction between the two terms. Thus, backsourcing refers to bringing back inhouse certain activities that had previously been outsourced (Chadee and Raman, 2009), whereas insourcing is the decision to retain core competencies inhouse. In other words it is often a decision against outsourcing (Youngson and Cheung, 2007).

A recent trend suggests that manufacturers prefer to insource the 'intellectual part' of the business and send more of the 'heavy lifting' externally (outsource) (Reed, 2008). Many companies also choose to insource those goods that require high levels of quality control and/or are critical products for the company. For example Unilever, producer of the Dove product range, introduced around a decade ago the Dove beauty soap bar which contained moisturiser, something soaps did not contain at the time. The special ingredients formula differentiates the Dove soap from the competition which is why Unilever decided to keep all sourcing and production of the soap and other products containing moisturisers in-house (Pinto, 2011).

A strong shift towards insourcing has been also observed in business practice. Along with efficiency and cost considerations (SenGupta, 2010), the reasons companies opt for insourcing include poor quality of service provided by third parties, service provider's failure to meet business objectives, outsourcers wish to keep control over the function or process, and cost-saving (Overby, 2011). Other arguments for insourcing include risk or compliance and the belief that the firm is better able to perform the activity inhouse (Youngson and Cheung, 2007). A co-ordination across an organisation's business functions must be in place for successful insourcing between the firm's internal IT department and other business units of the firm. Usually, insourcing is easier to establish and coordinate than outsourcing, since it is easier to achieve formal and informal communication between functions by the means of mechanisms, such as routine meetings and co-location (Qu et al., 2010).

Definition

Insourcing generally refers to the decision of an organisation to retain core competencies inhouse (Youngson and Cheung, 2007). The combination of inhouse work and outsourcing is used by every organisation but on different scales. Michael Munger, the jocund chair of the Duke University department of political science, argues that a computer company would not make its own furniture, bake bread in its cafeteria, or make waste-baskets). Profits define the line and helps companies decide what to buy and what to produce. The line, however, constantly changes (The Economist, 2008).

Successful Application

Generally, outsourcing and insourcing are not opposites and it is collaboration between organisations and external consultants or across organisational functions that matter. The choice should be made based on the approach evaluation of advantages/disadvantages of both options and the assessment of organisational resources (Fee, 2011). Alternatively, the decision to insource will often be that to 'backsource' (the terms are often used interchangeably). In most cases there is no overlap between the implementation steps whether the process is being conducted as insourcing or backsourcing. Bhagwatwar et al.

(2011) identify the following stages of the backsourcing process: (1) informing the supplier about the backsourcing decision; (2) conducting a financial viability analysis; (3) establishing a backsourcing team and designing a backsourcing plan; (4) defining workforce requirements and responsibilities during backsourcing; (5) developing strategies for re-hiring of employees or new hires; (6) defining security policies; (7) ensuring the continuity of business operations; (8) stakeholder management (9) knowledge management (Bhagwatwar et al., 2011).

Steps to Successful Application

- For implementing insourcing, companies must identify where external vendors are not able to provide services in a way that contributes to competitive advantage.
- Rank opportunities by priority, considering both potential for internal value added and external profits.
- Develop those capabilities internally, according to priority.
- Offer the developed services on external markets.
- Establish new organisational units so that those services can become core competencies that can be offered to both internal and external customers.

King (1995)

Hints and Tips

- Insourcing requires very high levels of internal company expertise (Qu et al., 2010).
- Both costs as well as added value must be appropriately considered when making the insourcing decision (Qu et al., 2010).
- Perceptions of, and the agenda for, an insourced function must be aligned (Hirscheim and Lacity, 2000).
- In order to prevent negative effects of internal politics, senior executives should allow managers of the functions to be outsourced/insourced to bid in competition with external providers (Hirscheim and Lacity, 2000).

Potential Advantages

- Insourcing is often cheaper than outsourcing and hence it can save costs (Overby, 2011).
- Through insourcing, firms can keep control over the overall direction and make sure it is aligned with strategy (Overby, 2011).
- Insourcing can maximise the use of internal resources (Fee, 2011).

Potential Disadvantages

- Insourcing can be expensive to implement and can constrain existing resources (Fee, 2011).
- Insourcing activities and performance measures are difficult to capture and quantify, and are usually not tracked for those reasons, as well as for reasons of impracticality (Overby, 2011).

 Measuring the actual success of insourcing is difficult: different stakeholders (e.g. senior management, business unit managers and users, IT managers) can have different expectations and might focus on different dimensions (Hirscheim and Lacity, 2000).

Performance Monitoring

- Cost of production factors (Dachs et al., 2006).
- Cost of communication, monitoring and coordination (Dachs et al., 2006).
- Service level/Availability of supply (Dachs et al., 2006).
- Availability of qualified personnel (Dachs et al., 2006).
- Inhouse investments (Qu et al., 2010).

Case Studies

- Wal-Mart decided not to outsource its entire IT activities and instead had its own Information Systems Division to manage all Wal-Mart's IT projects, ranging from programming to process reengineering. The company spends less than 1% of its worldwide revenue on IT, which is a below average IT spend for retailers (Sullivan, 2004).
- In 2006 Sainsbury's recorded five consecutive quarters of like-for-like sales and market share growth following steps taken by Mr King, its Chief Executive, in 2005. At the same time, his initiatives on debt restructuring and insourcing of the company's IT system from Accenture, along with charges associated with the business review reduced net profits in the short term by almost 70% to £58m (Griggs, 2006).
- Unlike many other manufacturers Sunseeker, a customised boat manufacturer, makes most
 of its boat components inhouse rather than have these made up by outside contractors,
 thus achieving as much flexibility as possible. 2,000 insourced products include furniture
 (beds and chairs) and stitching of upholstery, duvet covers and blinds (Mansell et al., 2007).

Further Reading/Reference

CIPS Source Downloads

- CIPS & PACG: Business process re-engineering & business process outsourcing
- BuyIT: IT outsourcing
- · CIPS: Outsourcing
- CIPS: Savings
- UWE, CIPS & KPMG (2002) I-SAVE: Independent savings analysis verification and evaluation

Web Resources

Article in which the terms 'insourcing' and 'backsourcing' are used synonymously
 http://www.cio.com/article/598415/9 Questions to Consider Before Insourcing Outsou
 reed IT

- Is insourcing the new outsourcing?
 http://www.cio.com/article/221017/Is Insourcing the New Outsourcing
- How to save by ending IT outsourcing deal http://www.cio.com/article/549463/Company_Saves_Millions_By_Ending_IT_Outsourcing_Deal
- Apple insources Samsung Chip Processing to Texas
 http://www.cio.com/article/549463/Company Saves Millions By Ending IT Outsourcing
 Deal
- Backsourcing: An emerging trend http://www.outsourcing-center.com/1998-09-backsourcing-an-emerging-trend-article-38943.html

Books

- Outsourcing and insourcing in an international Context, Marc J. Schniederjans, ISBN 978-07565615855
- Outsourcing Insourcing and IT for Enterprise Management, Dr Dimitris N. Chorafas, ISBN 978-1403903457
- Outsourcing Insourcing: Can Money be made from the new Relationship Opportunities,
 Per Jenster, Henrik Stener Pedersen & David E. Hussey, ISBN 9798-0470844908
- Beyond the Information Systems Outsourcing Bandwagon: The Insourcing Response, Mary
 C. Lacity & Rudy Hirschheim, ISBN 978-0471958222
- Insourcing Innovation, David Silverstein, Neil DeCarlo & Michael Slocum, ISBN 978-1420062274

References

- Bhagwatwar, A., Hackney, R. and Desouza, K.C. (2011) Considerations for Information Systems 'Backsourcing': A Framework for Knowledge Reintegration. Information Systems Management, Vol. 28(2), pp. 165-173.
- Chadee, D. and Raman, R. (2009) International Outsourcing of Information Technology Services: Review and Future Directions. International Marketing Review, Vol. 26(4/5), pp. 411-438.
- Chase, R.B., Jacobs, F.R., and Aquilano, N.J. (2004) Operations Management for Competitive Advantage. (10th ed.) McGraw-Hill: Boston, MA.
- Chopra, S. and Meindl, P. (2007) Supply Chain Management. Strategy, Planning and Operation. (3rd ed.) Pearson/Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River, N.J.
- Dachs, B., Ebersberger, B., Kinkel, S. and Waser, B.R. (2006) Offshoring of Production: A European Perspective. Frequency, Target Regions and Motives, European Manufacturing Survey, Bulletin No.2.
- Fee, K. (2011) 101 Learning and Development Tools: Essential Techniques for Creating, Delivering and Managing Effective Training. Kogan Page.
- Griggs, T. (2006) Rising Energy Costs to Limit Profits at Sainsbury. Financial Times, 17 May.

- Hirscheim, R. and Lacity, M. (2000) The Myths and Realities of Information Technology Insourcing. Communications of the ACM, Vol. 43(2), pp. 99-107.
- King, W.R. (1995) Creating Internal Markets. Information Systems Management, Vol. 12(2), pp. 61-63.
- Mansell, N., McFadden, S. and Bovey, G. (2007) Fascinating Facts. Financial Times. 16 January.
- Overby, S. (2011) Goodbye outsourcing, Hello Insourcing: A Trend Rises. CIO, 17 February.
 [online] Available
 at:(www.cio.com/article/665686/Goodbye_Outsourcing_Hello_Insourcing_A_Trend_Rises)
 [Accessed 11 January].
- Pinto, V.S. (2011) Consumer Majors Turn to Insourcing as Rupee Falls. Business Standard.
 16 December 2011. [online] Available at: (<u>business-standard.com/india/news/consumer-majors-turn-to-insourcing-as-rupee-falls/458692/</u>) [Accessed 11 January].
- Qu, W.G., Oh, W. and Pinnsoneault, A. (2010) The Strategic Value of IT Insourcing: An IT-enabled Business Process Perspective. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, Vol. 19(2), pp. 96-108.
- Reed, J. (2008) lassic British Marque Driven to Globalisation. Financial Times. 3 May.
- SenGupta, R. (2010) In Search of Change: Law Firms Face New Challenges. Financial Times.
 19 October.
- Sullivan, L. (2004) Wal-Mart's Way. Information Week, 27 September. [online] Available at: (www.informationweek.com/news/47902662?queryText=insourcing) [Accessed 11 January].
- The Economist (2008) Why Not Outsource Everything? 10 January.
- Wartzman, R. (2010) Insourcing and Outsourcing: The Right Mix. Bloomberg BusinessWeek.
 5 February. [online] Available at:

 (www.businessweek.com/managing/content/feb2010/ca2010024_507452.htm) [Accessed 11 January].
- Youngson, D. and Cheung, H. (2007) The Next Wave. A Plus. Vol. 3(9), pp. 37-39. [online] Available at: (app1.hkicpa.org.hk/APLUS/0709/37 39.pdf) [Accessed 11 January].

Video

Thomas Friedman: Insourcing

https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=TKfaVCVC8aM



CIPS Group Easton House, Easton on the Hill, Stamford, Lincolnshire, PE9 3NZ, United Kingdom T+44 (0)1780 756777 F+44 (0)1780 751610 E info@cips.org



CIPS Africa Ground Floor, Building B, 48 Sovereign Drive, Route 21 Corporate Park, Irene X30, Centurion, Pretoria, South Africa T+27 (0)12 345 6177 F+27 (0)12 345 3309 E infosa@cips.org.za



CIPS Australasia Level 8, 520 Collins Street, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia T 1300 765 142/+61 (0)3 9629 6000 F 1300 765 143/+61 (0)3 9620 5488 E info@cipsa.com.au

Printed on stock containing 50% post consumer recycled content

CIPS Middle East & North Africa Office 1703, The Fairmont Hotel, Sheikh Zayed Road, PO Box 49042, Dubai, United Arab Emirates T+971 (0)4 327 7348 F+971 (0)4 332 5541 E mena.enquiries@cips.org



CIPS™ is a registered trademark of the Chartered Institute of Purchasing & Supply